### Problems with Semaphores

- Used for 2 independent purposes
  - Mutual exclusion
  - Condition synchronization
- Hard to get right
  - Small mistake easily leads to deadlock

May want to separate mutual exclusion, condition synchronization

#### Monitors (Hoare)

- Abstract Data Type
  - consists of vars and procedures, like C++ class
  - 3 key differences from a regular class:
    - only one thread in monitor at a time (mutual exclusion is automatic)
    - special type of variable allowed, called "condition variable"
      - 4 special ops allowed only on condition
         variables: wait, signal, broadcast, notempty
    - no public data allowed (must call methods to effect any change)

#### Wait, Signal, Broadcast

- Given a condition variable "cond"
  - Wait():
    - thread is put on queue for "cond", goes to sleep
  - Signal():
    - if queue for "cond" not empty, wake up one thread
  - Broadcast():
    - wake up all threads waiting on queue for "cond"

### Semantics of Signal

- Signal and Wait (Hoare)
  - signaller immediately gives up control
  - thread that was waiting executes
- Signal and Continue (Java)
  - will be used in this class
  - signaller continues executing
  - thread that was waiting put on ready queue
  - when thread actually gets to run:
    - state may have changed! use "while", not "if"

### Monitor Solution to Critical Section

• Just make the critical section a monitor routine!

## Readers/Writers Solution using Monitors

- Similar idea to semaphore solution
  - simpler, because don't worry about mutex
- When can't get into database, wait on appropriate condition variable
- When done with database, signal others

Note: can't just put code for "reading database" and code for "writing database" in the monitor (couldn't have >1 reader)

# Differences between Monitors and Semaphores

- Monitors enforce mutual exclusion
- P() vs Wait
  - P blocks if value is 0, Wait always blocks
- V() vs Signal
  - V either wakes up a thread or increments value
  - Signal only has effect if a thread waiting
- Semaphores have "memory"

# First Attempt: Implementing Monitors using Semaphores

```
Shared vars:
   sem mutex := 1 (one per monitor)
   sem c := 0; int nc := 0 (one per condition var)
Monitor entry: P(mutex)
Wait(mutex):
   nc++; V(mutex); P(c); P( mutex)
Signal(mutex):
   if (nc > 0) then \{nc - ; V(c); \}
Monitor exit: V(mutex)
```

### Correct Implementation of Monitors using Semaphores (Assume that "tid" is the id of a thread)

```
Shared vars:
   sem mutex := 1; (one per monitor)
   int nc := 0; List delayQ (one per condition var)
   sem c[NumThreads] := 0; (one entry per thread; one
     entry per thread per condition works also)
Monitor entry: P(mutex)
Wait(mutex):
   nc++; delayQ->Append(tid); V(mutex); P(c[tid]); P(mutex)
Signal(mutex):
   if (nc > 0) then \{nc -: id = delayQ -> Remove(); V(c[id]); \}
Monitor exit: V(mutex);
```