Nonblocking Synchronization

- Idea: avoid problems with blocking synchronization mechanisms (e.g., locks) by.....
 - Not blocking
- Also want to allow multiple threads to make progress concurrently

Problems with locks

- Error prone
 - Deadlock possible, for example, if locks acquired in wrong order
 - Locks aren't composable; for example all modules must adhere to the same convention for multiple locks
- Overhead in case where contention is unlikely
- Vulnerable to failures and faults
 E.g., thread holding lock infinite loops
- Pre-emption while holding lock

Problems with locks

- Deadlock that is not programmer induced
 - Consider following case: medium priority thread running, and low priority thread owns lock that high priority thread is waiting for
 - This is priority inversion, even if the lock has a blocking-based implementation
 - Lock convoys
 - If there is contention for a lock, threads may do little work before blocking (too much context switching time)

Load-linked with Store conditional

- Used together (but note they are *two separate instructions*)
 - LL returns value at a shared memory location
 - SC stores new value there if previous value has not changed
 - Returns true if stored, false if not stored

Concurrent Counter with LL/SC

```
int ConcurrentAdd(ref int x, int value) {
 repeat
   old = LL(x)
 until
   SC(x, old+value)
 return old // return previous value
}
```

Critical Section Solution with LL/SC

Init: s = 0

Exit: s = 0

Concurrent Stack

structure pointer_f structure node_f structure stack_f {ptr: pointer to node_t, count: unsigned integer}
{value: data type, next: pointer_t}
{Top: pointer_t}

INITIALIZE(S: pointer to stack_t) S->Top.ptr = NULL

PUSH(S: pointer to stack_t, value: data type) node = new_node() node→value = value node→next.ptr = NULL repeat top = S→Top node→next.ptr = top.ptr until CAS(&S→Top, top, [node, top.count+1])

POP(S: pointer to stack_t, pvalue: pointer to data type): boolean

```
repeat

top = S→Top

if top.ptr == NULL

return FALSE

endif

until CAS(&S→Top, top, [top.ptr→next.ptr, top.count+1])

*pvalue = top.ptr→value

free(top.ptr)

return TRUE
```

Empty stack. Top points to NULL

Allocate a new node from the free list # Copy stacked value into node # Set next pointer of node to NULL # Keep trying until Push is done # Read Top.ptr and Top.count together # Link new node to head of list # Try to swing Top to new node

Keep trying until Pop is done # Read Top # Is the stack empty? # The stack was empty, couldn't pop

Try to swing Top to the next node # Pop is done. Read value # It is safe now to free the old node # The stack was not empty, pop succeeded

FIG. 1. Structure and operation of Treiber's nonblocking concurrent stack algorithm [38].

Alternate Concurrent Stack (pushes/pops pointers) Source: Wikipedia (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ABA_problem)

Stack just points to stack top Obj is structure of interest; push and pop pointers to Obj

```
Push(Stack *S, Obj *p)

while (1) {

    Obj *top = S

    p→next = top

    if CAS(S, top, p)

       return

    }
```

Obj *Pop() while (1) { Obj *ret = S; if (!ret) return NULL next = ret→next if CAS(S, ret, next) return ret }

Why is there a counter as part of the stack pointer?

- Example sequence of events (Wikipedia version):
 - 1. State at a given point: Top $\rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$
 - 2. Threads 1 and 2 both invoke Pop
 - 3. Thread 1 starts running Pop, and sets ret to A and next to B
 - 4. Before Thread 1 can execute CAS, context switch to Thread 2
 - 5. Thread 2 starts running Pop and sets ret' to A and next' to B
 - 6. Thread 2 successfully executes CAS, so now stack is Top \rightarrow B \rightarrow C
 - 7. Thread 2 starts running Pop again and sets *ret*' to B and *next*' to C
 - 8. Thread 2 successfully executes CAS, so now stack is $Top \rightarrow C$
 - 9. Thread 2 deallocates B
 - 10. Thread 2 invokes Push(A) and succeeds, so now stack is $Top \rightarrow A \rightarrow C$
 - 11. Thread 1 resumes, and Top and *ret* are both A, so CAS succeeds and Top now points to B, which has been deallocated. To say the least, this is bad.

Why is there a counter as part of the stack pointer?

- ABA problem
 - Means that value is changed and changed back, but from A to B to A---so Compare and Swap does not detect the change!
 - Count up, so that this can't happen
 - Well, it could if there is overflow, but...
 - Requires doubleword Compare and Swap
 - So that we can store a pointer and a counter
 - If have LL/SC, can avoid the ABA problem
 - But not all architectures have LL/SC

Concurrent Queue

structure pointer_t structure node_t structure queue_t {ptr: pointer to node_t, count: unsigned integer}
{value: data type, next: pointer_t}
{Head: pointer_t, Tail: pointer_t}

INITIALIZE(Q: pointer to queue_t) node = new_node() node --- next.ptr = NULL Q--- Head.ptr = Q--- Tail.ptr = node

Allocate a free node # Make it the only node in the linked list # Both Head and Tail point to it

ENQUE	UE(Q: pointer to queue_t, value: data type)	
EI:	node = new_node()	# Allocate a new node from the free list
E2:	node→value = value	# Copy enqueued value into node
E3:	nodenext.ptr = NULL	# Set next pointer of node to NULL
E4:	loop	# Keep trying until Enqueue is done
E5:	$tail = Q \rightarrow Tail$	# Read Tail.ptr and Tail.count together
E6:	next = tail.ptr-next	# Read next ptr and count fields together
E7:	if tail == Q→Tail	# Are tail and next consistent?
E8:	if next.ptr == NULL	# Was Tail pointing to the last node?
E9:	if CAS(&tail.ptr-+next, next, [node, next.count+1])	# Try to link node at the end of the linked list
E10:	break	# Enqueue is done. Exit loop
E11:	endif	
E12:	else	# Tail was not pointing to the last node
E13:	CAS(&Q→Tail, tail, [next.ptr, tail.count+1])	# Try to swing Tail to the next node
E14:	endif	
E15:	endif	
E16:	endloop	
E17:	CAS(&Q→Tail, tail, [node, tail.count+1])	# Try to swing Tail to the inserted node

Concurrent Queue

DEQUEUE(Q: pointer to queue.t, pvalue: pointer to data type): boolean

DI:	loop	# Keep trying until Dequeue is done
D2:	head = $Q \rightarrow Head$	# Read Head
D3:	tail = Q→Tail	# Read Tail
D4:	next = head.ptr->next	# Read Head.ptr→next
D5:	if head == Q→Head	# Are head, tail, and next consistent?
D6:	if head.ptr == tail.ptr	# Is queue empty or Tail falling behind?
D7:	if next.ptr == NULL	# Is queue empty?
D8:	return FALSE	# Queue is empty, couldn't dequeue
D9:	endif	
D10:	CAS(&Q→Tail, tail, [next.ptr, tail.count+1])	# Tail is falling behind. Try to advance it
D11:	else	# No need to deal with Tail
	# Read value before CAS, otherwise another dequeue might free the next node	
D12:	*pvalue = next.ptr→value	
D13:	if CAS(&Q→Head, head, [next.ptr, head.count+1])	# Try to swing Head to the next node
D14:	break	# Dequeue is done. Exit loop
D15:	endif	
D16:	endif	
D17:	endif	
D18:	endloop	
D19:	free(head.ptr)	# It is safe now to free the old dummy node
D20:	return TRUE	# Queue was not empty, dequeue succeeded

FIG. 3. Structure and operation of a nonblocking concurrent queue.